Production of Hydrogen by Ultraviolet Irradiation of $Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{4-}$ in Aqueous Sulfuric Acid. Electronic Absorption Spectrum of $K_3Mo_2(SO_4)_4$ ·3.5H₂O at 15 K

David K. Erwin,^{1a} Gregory L. Geoffroy,^{1b} Harry B. Gray,^{*1a} George S. Hammond,^{1c} Edward I. Solomon,^{1d} William C. Trogler,^{1a} and Arnis A. Zagars^{1b}

Contribution No. 5462 from the Arthur Amos Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, the Department of Chemistry, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, the Department of Chemistry, Division of Natural Sciences, University of California at Santa Cruz, California 95060, and the Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139. Received November 8, 1976

Abstract; Ultraviolet irradiation (λ 254 nm) of Mo₂(SO₄)₄⁴⁻ in 5 M H₂SO₄ produces H₂ and the one-electron oxidation product Mo₂(SO₄)₄³⁻. The disappearance quantum yield of Mo₂(SO₄)₄⁴⁻ is 0.17 at 254 nm. The spectrum of K₃Mo₂(SO₄)₄. 3.5H₂O exhibits an absorption band at 1405 nm (ϵ 143); this band shows a vibrational progression in a 350-cm⁻¹ fundamental even in 5 M D₂SO₄ solution at room temperature. At 15 K additional vibronic structure is resolved. This band is assigned to the N \rightarrow E type transition $\delta \rightarrow \delta^*$ (²B_{2g} \rightarrow ²B_{1u}).

It has been shown previously that ultraviolet irradiation of aqueous acidic solutions containing one-electron reductants such as Fe²⁺ yield hydrogen.² We are presently exploring the photoredox behavior of low-valent molybdenum complexes containing strong metal-metal bonds, and in particular are directing our attention to systems that are capable of reducing hydronium ions. Herein we report the results of an investigation of the photoreactivity of Mo₂(SO₄)₄⁴⁻ in aqueous sulfuric acid. The near infrared spectrum of K₃Mo₂(SO₄)₄·3.5H₂O at 15 K has also been studied.

Experimental Section

The compounds $K_4Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{3,4}$ and $K_3Mo_2(SO_4)_4 \cdot 3.5H_2O^5$ were prepared according to standard procedures. All manipulations with the molybdenum complexes were conducted under nitrogen or in degassed solution. For the irradiations, the appropriate solution was placed in a special vacuum-tight, 1-cm quartz spectrophotometer cell and degassed through three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Low-pressure Hg lamps were used for the 254-nm irradiations. The electronic absorption spectra of the reaction solutions were monitored using a Cary 17 spectrophotometer. Quantum yields at 254 nm were determined using ferrioxalate actinometry such that the sample absorbed 5.77 $\times 10^{-7}$ einsteins/min. Mass spectral analyses of the gas above the irradiated solutions were obtained with an AEI-MS-902 high-resolution mass spectrometer. The low-temperature near IR spectrum of $K_3Mo_2(SO_4)_4$ ·3.5H₂O was obtained by positioning a sample in a Cary liquid helium Dewar. X-ray photoelectron spectra were obtained with a HP5950A spectrometer from powdered samples on double-stick tape.

Evolution of H₂ was measured for exhaustively photolyzed samples of $Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{4-}$ in vacuum-tight 1-cm spectrophotometer cells equipped with a glass side chamber and needle valve. The amount of evolved H₂ was determined by Toepler pumping the stirred photolyzed solution through three liquid nitrogen traps into a calibrated volume and manometrically measuring the pressure. To ensure that only H₂ was collected, the gas was then passed over hot copper oxide, yielding water that was condensed in a liquid nitrogen trap, leaving no detectable gas.

Photochemistry of Mo₂(SO₄)₄⁴⁻

Irradiation (254 nm) of Mo₂(SO₄)₄⁴⁻ in 5 M D₂SO₄ results in the spectral changes shown in Figure 1. As irradiation proceeds, small gas bubbles are formed. The band at 515 nm (ϵ ~170) characteristic^{3,4} of Mo₂(SO₄)₄⁴⁻ decreases in intensity, whereas a structured absorption system diagnostic (vide infra) of Mo₂(SO₄)₄³⁻ grows in at 1200-1600 nm (ϵ_{max} of 143). Isosbestic points are initially maintained at 578 and 420 nm and shoulders develop at 570 and 405 nm. A quantum yield of 0.17 was obtained for disappearance of $Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{4-}$.

Mass spectral analysis of the gas formed by irradiating $Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{4-}$ in 5 M H₂SO₄ solution confirmed that H₂ was produced. Thus the principal photoreaction must correspond to

$$H^+(aq) + Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{4-} \xrightarrow{254 \text{ nm}} \frac{1}{2}H_2 + Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{3-}$$
 (1)

An exhaustively photolyzed solution yielded 0.31 mol of H_2/mol of $K_4Mo_2(SO_4)_4$. The fact that this is less than the theoretical amount of H_2 (0.5 mol) is not unexpected, as isosbestic points are not maintained (Figure 1); that is, the reaction observed upon 254-nm irradiation is not stoichiometric at high conversion.⁶

Near Infrared Spectrum of Mo₂(SO₄)₄³⁻

We have found that $Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{3-}$ exhibits a previously unreported⁵ absorption band in the near 1R at 1405 nm (ϵ_{max} 143 for a 5 M D₂SO₄ solution). Remarkably, a vibrational progression with a spacing of 350 cm⁻¹ attributable to a_{1g} (MoMo) was observed even in solution at room temperature. In contrast, the lowest energy electronic absorption band of $K_4Mo_2(SO_4)_4$, which occurs at 519 nm (ϵ 170), has been shown⁷ to be due to the transition $\delta \rightarrow \delta^* ({}^1A_{1g} \rightarrow {}^1A_{2u})$. There are two plausible explanations for the near 1R band of K₃Mo₂(SO₄)₄·3.5H₂O. As the compound is formally of mixed valence, the transition could be assigned intervalence charge transfer in the weak interaction description.^{8,9} Alternatively, the transition could be viewed as the $N \rightarrow E$ type¹⁰ transition $\delta \rightarrow \delta^* ({}^2B_{2g} \rightarrow {}^2B_{1u})$. The former description seems inappropriate for several reasons. Firstly, the MoMo bond lengths¹¹ and stretching frequencies¹² are approximately equal in $K_4Mo_2(SO_4)_4$ and $K_3Mo_2(SO_4)_4 \cdot 3.5H_2O$. Therefore, the δ -bonding model applicable to K₄Mo₂(SO₄)₄ would be expected to obtain for K₃Mo₂(SO₄)₄·3H₂O as well. EPR measurements for K₃Mo₂(SO₄)₄·3.5H₂O suggest that the odd electron occupies a δ orbital delocalized over both metal centers.13 We also have examined the x-ray photoelectron spectrum of K₃Mo₂(SO₄)₄·3.5H₂O and have found no splitting of the Mo 3p_{1/2} signal. One final point, and most convincing, is the fact that the 1405-nm band in the spectrum of $K_3Mo_2(SO_4)_4$ ·3.5H₂O shows sharp vibronic structure at 15



Figure 1. Electronic absorption spectral changes during 254-nm irradiation of K₄Mo₂(SO₄)₄ in 5 M D₂SO₄ solution.



Figure 2. Electronic absorption spectrum of K₃Mo₂(SO₄)₄·3.5H₂O in a KBr pellet at 15 K.

Table I. Vibronic Structure of the Near IR Band in the Spectrum of $K_3Mo_2(SO_4)_4 \cdot 3.5H_2O$ in a KBr Pellet at 15 K

nm	cm ⁻¹	Α	В	С	D	E
1583.7	6314	Γ				
1575.6	6347	1				
1558.2	6418					
1520.0	6579	351	{	{	—	
1500.3	6665	-	354			
1492.3	6701	}		1		
1482.4	6746			358		
1475.9	6776	1	1	⊢	351	
1442.9	6930	353	l l			
1425.0	7018	-	354			
1417.3	7055		L	1		363
1406.7	7109			355		F
1402.3	7131			L	249	
1374.0	7278	350			1340	
1357.2	7368	<u> </u>				250
1339.0	7468					[339
1312.9	7616	247				
1296.2	7715	<u>1</u> 347				

K (Figure 2, Table I). An intervalence charge transfer band, because the transition is a multiphonon excitation,^{9,14} would not be expected to exhibit well resolved molecular vibronic structure. Both the vibrational progression in quanta of 350 cm⁻¹ and the Franck-Condon factor of about 1.5 are more consistent with the $\delta \rightarrow \delta^*$ assignment.

The vibrational structure of the 1405-nm band in the spectrum of $K_3Mo_2(SO_4)_4$ ·3.5H₂O is assigned as follows: There are five (A-E, Figure 2, Table I) origins for progressions in a1g(MoMo). Conspicuous is the presence of the two major

components A and C with slightly different Franck-Condon factors. The progression that begins at C has a slightly higher progressional frequency than that beginning at A. These split components are interpreted to result from the $\delta \rightarrow \delta^*$ transition of two crystallographically nonequivalent¹¹ $Mo_2(SO_4)_4^{3-}$ ions in the unit cell. Previously, it has been noted¹² that this nonequivalence results in slightly different ground-state values of a_{1g} (MoMo) (373 and 386 cm⁻¹). The two weak peaks, B and E, might possibly represent transitions involving quanta of a_{1g} modes built on A and C, respectively. Alternatively, these weak peaks could result from Davydov splitting of the pure origins (A and C). The other weak peak, D, may be attributed to a transition involving a quantum of an a1g mode built on either A or C. It is apparent, however, that definitive assignments of the three weak peaks cannot be made with the limited data available.

Acknowledgment. We thank Robert Gay for experimental assistance. W.C.T. acknowledges an IBM Fellowship during 1976-1977. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) California Institute of Technology; (b) Pennsylvania State University; (c) University of California at Santa Cruz; (d) Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- V. Balzanl and V. Carassiti, "Photochemistry of Coordination Compounds" (2) Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1970, pp 159-161, and references cited therein.
- A. R. Bowen and H. Taube, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 3287 (1971).
- (4) A. R. Bowen and H. Taube, *Introduction of Chem.*, **13**, 2245 (1974).
 (5) A. Pernick and M. Ardon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **97**, 1254 (1975).
 (6) Irradiation of Mo₂(SO₄)₄⁴⁻ in 5 M H₂SO₄ with visible light also produces Mo₂(SO₄)₄³⁻ and hydrogen (W. C. Trogler and H. B. Gray, unpublished results). Preliminary experiments have shown that the photoreaction under these conditions is stoichiometric at high conversion (0.49 mol of H₂ were liberated per mole of $Mo_3(SO_4)_4^{4-}$ irradiated). Experiments directed toward the elucidation of the mechanistic features of the photoreaction are in
- progress. (7) F. A. Cotton, D. S. Martin, P. E. Fanwick, T. J. Peters, and T. R. Webb, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 4681 (1976). G. C. Allen and N. S. Hush, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 8, 357 (1967).

- (9) N. S. Hush, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.*, **8**, 391 (1967).
 (10) R. S. Mulliken, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **7**, 20 (1939).
 (11) F. A. Cotton, B. A. Frenz, E. Pedersen, and T. R. Webb, *Inorg. Chem.*, **14**, 391 (1975).
- (12) A. Loewenschuss, J. Shamir, and M. Ardon, Inorg. Chem., 15, 238 (1976).
- (13) F. A. Cotton and E. Pedersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 303 (1975). (14) J. K. Beattie, N. S. Hush, and P. R. Taylor, Inorg. Chem., 15, 992 (1976).